

Opportunities and challenges of speed breeding: A review

Maliata Athon Wanga^{1,2}  | Hussein Shimelis¹  | Jacob Mashilo¹  | Mark D. Laing¹

¹African Centre for Crop Improvement, School of Agricultural, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa

²Directorate of Agricultural Research and Development, Ministry of Agriculture, Windhoek, Namibia

Correspondence

Hussein Shimelis, African Centre for Crop Improvement, School of Agricultural, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X01, Scottsville 3209, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa.
Email: Shimelish@ukzn.ac.za

Communicated by: Toshio Yamamoto

Abstract

Breeding new and high performing cultivars with market-preferred traits take more than 10 years in the absence of an integrated pre-breeding programme. During the early phases of breeding a significant amount of time, space and resources are invested in the selection and genetic advancement stages after initial crosses are performed with parental genotypes. Speed breeding has the potential to reduce the time required for cultivar development, release and commercialization. The objective of this review was to present the key opportunities and challenges of speed breeding to guide pre-breeding and breeding programmes. Key challenges of speed breeding include: (a) access to suitable facilities, (c) staff trained in the protocol, (b) adopting major changes to breeding programme design and operations, and (d) the need for long-term funding. The current review highlights the potential advantages of speed breeding for the successful development and release of pure line cultivars in self-pollinated crops in ~5 years versus 8 to 10 years when using traditional methods.

KEYWORDS

generation-advancement, plant breeding, speed-breeding

1 | INTRODUCTION

Breeding a new crop variety via conventional approach requires selection of complementary parental genotypes with desired traits, followed by crosses and a series of selection and advancement of superior progenies to release candidate cultivars that meet market demands (Shimelis & Laing, 2012). Notable breeding goals in crop cultivar development programmes include higher yield potential and nutritional quality, and enhanced tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Brescghello & Coelho, 2013; Tester & Langridge, 2010). In any crop improvement programme, the following breeding procedures can be distinguished in the order presented: (a) selection of desirable parents with complementary traits to be combined; (b) crosses involving the selected parents and the development of progenies; (c) selection and genetic advancement of the best progenies based on target traits; (d) selection of the best progenies for screening in multiple target production environments to identify the best performing and stable candidate cultivars; and (e) cultivar registration, and seed multiplication and distribution to growers (Shimelis & Laing, 2012). These conventional breeding procedures are used in most crop cultivar improvement programmes. However,

conventional breeding procedures can take more than 10 years to develop and release an improved variety in the absence of an integrated pre-breeding programme (Ahmar et al., 2020; De La Fuente et al., 2013). In most variety design programmes, resources, space and time are invested mainly in Stages 3 and 4 of the breeding procedures. The time taken in these stages significantly delays the pace of cultivar development and commercialization.

Field selection processes take an entire season, and the slow rate of advancement of each generation during the conventional breeding is attributable to the inherent nature of the crop cycles. In the common cereal and legume crops a crop cycle is typically 3 to 6 months per crop, per generation and per year. In other crops such as cassava it takes 15 to 18 months to complete one breeding generation. In some agroecologies, variable weather conditions such as extreme temperatures, erratic and poor rainfall distribution, day length, etc. allow for only one crop cycle per year. Under some tropical crop production conditions, two generations per year is attainable (Laux et al., 2010). Furthermore, continuous field selection and early rapid generation advancement require skill and expertise in phenotyping, as well as production resources to manage a large number of segregating populations before yield and economic traits can be evaluated once homozygous or

genetically stable breeding populations have been created (Mobini et al., 2015). The duration of each crop breeding cycle can be reduced using modern technologies such as doubled haploid breeding (Dwivedi et al., 2015) and speed breeding (Hickey et al., 2019).

Speed breeding is a suite of techniques that involves the manipulation of environmental conditions under which crop genotypes are grown, aiming to accelerate flowering and seed set, to advance to the next breeding generation as quickly as possible. The method saves breeding time and resources through rapid generation advancement (Table 1). Various selection methods can be integrated into speed breeding, such as the single seed descent (SSD), single pod descent (SPD), single plant selection (SPS), clonal selection and marker-assisted selection (MAS) to shorten the breeding cycle and for efficient resource use (Hickey et al., 2017; Samineni et al., 2019; Watson et al., 2018). Speed breeding results in ~3 to 9 generations per year compared to 1 to 2 generations per year achieved with conventional selection approaches (Ghosh et al., 2018; Ochatt et al., 2002). As a result, speed breeding offers opportunities to rapidly develop homozygous and stable genotypes, and to facilitate rapid generation advancement, resulting in accelerated development and release of new cultivars (Watson et al., 2018). Also, speed breeding technology fits well with MAS and high-throughput phenotyping methodologies for multiple trait selection.

The objective of this review is to present the key opportunities and challenges of speed breeding to guide pre-breeding and breeding programmes. In the first section, the paper discusses plant growth manipulation practices that induce early flowering and early seed maturity, which reduces the intervals between selection cycles. This is followed by highlights on the selection methods available for early generation advancement. The paper summarizes the key challenges limiting the deployment of speed breeding techniques in developing countries, including the high costs of infrastructure development and for maintaining a sustainable operation. The current review highlights the potential advantages of speed breeding for successful development and release of crop cultivars in ~5 years compared with conventional breeding that can take up to 8 to 10 years.

2 | OPPORTUNITIES OF SPEED BREEDING TECHNIQUES

2.1 | Rapid development of homozygous lines for accelerated breeding

Speed breeding techniques have been used on various crops to rapidly develop homozygous lines after initial crosses of selected parents

TABLE 1 Techniques for rapid generation advancement with corresponding days to flowering, number of generations achieved per year and selection methods used in different crops

Crop	Techniques	Days to flowering	Number of generation per year	Selection method	Reference(s)
Amaranth	Photoperiod and temperature	28	6	SSD	Stetter et al. (2016)
<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	Plant hormones, immature seed germination and photoperiod	20–26	10	–	Ochatt and Sangwan (2008)
Barley	Photoperiod, temperature, soil fertility, immature seed germination and embryo rescue	24 – 36	9	SSD	Zheng et al. (2013)
Canola	Photoperiod, light intensity, temperature, immature seed germination and soil moisture	73	4	SSD	Watson et al. (2018)
Chickpea	Photoperiod and immature seed germination	33	7	SPD	Samineni et al. (2019)
Faba bean	Plant hormones, photoperiod, light intensity and immature seed	29–32	7	SPD	Mobini et al. (2015)
Groundnut	Photoperiod and temperature	25–27	3	SPD	O'connor et al. (2013)
Lentil	Plant hormones, photoperiod, light intensity and immature seed	31–33	8	SPD	Mobini et al. (2015)
Pea	Plant hormones, photoperiod and immature seed germination	33	5	–	Mobini and Warkentin (2016)
Pigeon pea	Photoperiod, temperature, immature seed germination	50–56	4	SPD	Saxena et al. (2019)
Rice	Photoperiod, temperature and high-density planting	75–85	4	SSD	Collard et al. (2017)
Sorghum	Photoperiod, temperature and imature seed germination	40–50	6	SSD	Forster et al. (2014)
Soybean	Photoperiod, temperature and imature seed germination	23	5	SSD	Jähne et al. (2020)
Wheat	Photoperiod, temperature, soil fertility, immature seed germination and embryo rescue	28–41	7.6	SSD	Zheng et al. (2013)

Abbreviations: SPD, single pod descent; SSD, single seed descent; SPS, single plant selection; –, not available.

with complimentary traits. The technique depends on the manipulation of photoperiod, light intensity, temperature, soil moisture, soil nutrition and high-density planting. These methods have been used to induce early flowering and seed set, reducing the time taken to generate each breeding generation (Table 1). The method allows for the production of 3 to 9 breeding generations per year. This is ideal for accelerated breeding and population evaluation across the target production environments involving various selection methods such as SSD, SPD and SPS (El-Hashash & El-Absy, 2019).

Speed breeding relies on deliberate manipulation of various growing conditions that are described below.

2.1.1 | Manipulation of photoperiod regime

Photoperiod refers to the length of daily exposure of plants to scheduled light and dark regimes to enhance rapid growth, development, flowering and seed set (Vince-Prue, 1994). Different crop species and genotypes within species have variable photoperiod requirements for flower induction and seed set (Kouressy et al., 2008; Saito et al., 2009). Thus, it is important to determine the optimum light quality, intensity and photoperiod that trigger flower initiation in various crops and genotypes.

Light quality, which includes the instantaneous and cumulative amount, delivered per day has a direct effect on plant growth, net photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, intercellular CO₂ and transpiration rate (Yang et al., 2017). Also, the daily light to dark hours has an effect on flowering rate and maturity. Light sources emitting photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) within the range of 400–700 nm with an intensity of 360–650 μmol/m²/s have been successfully used in many crops, including wheat, barley, chickpea, pea and canola to facilitate speed breeding (Ghosh et al., 2018; Watson et al., 2018). Light-based speed breeding protocols provide a benefit for sustained photosynthesis for the entire light period, all year round (Bhatta et al., 2021). For example, Dubcovsky et al. (2006) found that a photoperiod of 22 hr light and 2 hr dark under PAR of 150–190 μE m⁻²s⁻¹ reduced the total number of days to flowering by half when compared with the corresponding wheat genotypes grown with 12/12 hr light/dark. This procedure induced flowering in 35 and 39 days in several wheat genotypes, Paragon, Watkins landrace W352 and a late flowering Paragon × W352 F₆ recombinant inbred line. The plants grown with 12/12 hr light/dark were still at the stem elongation growth stage when the corresponding plants grown with 22/2 hr light/dark had started flowering. In a second study, when plants of a sensitive winter wheat genotype, G3116 (AY485969) were grown under short day (SD) conditions (8/16 hr light/dark) for six weeks before being transferred to long day (LD) conditions (16/8 hr light/dark) at a light intensity of 200–270 μmol/m²/s, flowering was induced without the need for vernalization. The days to flowering of non-vernalized genotypes grown in SD-LD condition were comparable with genotypes vernalized for six weeks.

A photoperiod of 16/8 hr light/dark with a light intensity of 500 μmol/m²/s reportedly induced early flowering in seven barley

genotypes: Franklin (36 days), Gairdner (35 days), Gimmett (33 days), Commander (30 days), Fleet (29 days), Baudin (26 days) and Lockyer (25) (Zheng et al., 2013). This day length regime was combined with the germination of immature seed, and moisture and nutrition management, resulting in an increase in the number of generations advanced per year reaching 6–8 and 7–9 in wheat and barley, respectively. Samineni et al. (2019) reported that a photoperiod length of 12/12 hr light/dark using standard incandescent bulb of 60 W with a light intensity of 870 lm induced early flowering in chickpea. This regime reportedly induced early flowering ranging from 37–38, 50–51 and 60–65 days in early-maturing (i.e., JG 11 and JG 14), medium-maturing (IGGV 10 and JG 16) and late-maturity (C 235 and CDC - Frontier) chickpea genotypes. Depending on the test genotypes, the number of days to flowering in early-, medium- and late-maturity genotypes were reduced by 8–19, 7–16 and 11–27 days, respectively. In grain amaranth, flowering was induced in about four weeks by growing plants for two weeks in LD conditions (16/8 hr light/dark) followed by SD conditions (8/16 hr light/dark) using a light intensity of 150 mmol (Stetter et al., 2016). Continuous exposure to light (24 hr light) with 450 W PAR lamp induced relatively early flowering at 25 to 27 days after germination in groundnut (O'Connor et al., 2013). Adjusting the photoperiod is cost-effective when using low energy, light-emitting diodes (LED), which can use battery-based inverters system, charged from solar panels. The use of solar power systems is an effective and sustainable approach for indoor speed breeding in countries with an unreliable electricity supply.

2.1.2 | Regulation of the temperature regime

Adjustments to soil and air temperatures affect germination and growth responses, leading to rapid growth, flowering, seed set and maturity. Low and high temperature extremes activate a wide range of effects on the rate of plant development, including a transition from the vegetative to the reproductive stages (Hatfield & Prueger, 2015; McClung et al., 2016). The temperatures required for germination for most crops are between 12 and 30°C, whereas the optimum temperature for growth, flowering and seed set varies from 25 to 30°C for most crops. Temperatures maintained at 25 ± 1 °C under 12/12 hr light/dark condition were used for germination of direct sown immature seed in chickpea (Samineni et al., 2019). Temperature regulation allowed the germination of immature seeds (harvested 16–24 days after flowering), freshly planted into pots, which allowed for the production of 7 generations per year. In winter wheat, vernalization or cold temperature stress is required at the vegetative stage to accelerate the transition to the reproductive stage (Dubcovsky et al., 2006; Yan et al., 2004). Temperatures above 33°C can lead to decreased pollen viability and increase male-sterility in rice, sorghum and soybean (Hatfield & Prueger, 2015; Singh et al., 2015; Wiebbecke et al., 2012). Therefore, temperatures inside the critical limit can facilitate flowering, seed set and maturity for speed breeding. For example, temperatures of 20–22°C were used for the germination of immature seed derived from embryo

culture in wheat and barley (Zheng et al., 2013). After germination, seedlings were transferred to a temperature regime of 25/22°C synchronized with a photoperiod of 16/8 hr light/dark for rapid plant growth and early flowering. In groundnut, use of a temperature regime of 17/32°C, facilitated rapid plant growth, flower induction and seed set under conditions of constant light (24/0 hr light /dark) (O'Connor et al., 2013). Photoperiod sensitive genotypes of various crops have shown variable responses to temperature regimes that affect their transition from the vegetative to the reproductive stage. In sorghum, early flowering was induced by exposing sensitive genotypes (BTx642/Tx7000 RIL population and parental lines) to LD conditions (14/10 hr light/dark) or to SD conditions (10/14 hr light/dark) at 30/23°C day/night temperature (Yang et al., 2014). Solar/battery powered air-conditioning systems could provide a cost effective, stable technology for indoor speed breeding programmes in developing countries.

2.1.3 | Regulation of soil moisture

Soil moisture stresses can cause significant changes in plant growth and development processes affecting plant height, days to flowering, and seed set and maturity (Anjum et al., 2017; Hussain et al., 2018). Drought or flooding stress can trigger early flowering and maturation, which can be used in speed breeding. Drought stress is the most commonly applied technique for crops such as wheat, barley and pearl millet (Shavrukov et al., 2017). Drought causes early flowering in pearl millet, which may have evolved as an 'escape mechanism' to produce the next generation (Vadez et al., 2012). However, in no-tillering, late-flowering genotypes of pearl millet, drought stress can result in sterility, and extend the flowering period up to 18 days in high tillering genotypes (De Rouw & Winkel, 1998). In cowpea, plants grown under drought stress flowered about 12 days earlier than those grown under well-watered conditions (Agbicodo et al., 2009; Goufo et al., 2017). In wheat and barley, irrigation when plants show wilt symptoms promotes plant growth and development. Zheng et al. (2013) combined watering regimes with embryo rescue, adjusted photoperiod and adjusted temperatures to produce 8 and 9 generations per year in wheat and barley, respectively. In contrast, in sorghum, drought stress delayed flowering up to 9 days in cultivars Segalane (Botswana 79), Mahube (SDS 2583) and Phofu (Macia) when compared with the same genotypes grown under well-watered conditions (Munamava & Riddoch, 2001). These studies highlighted the opportunity to optimize water-supply in crop speed breeding facilities for more efficient generation turnover.

After flowering, rapid grain filling and maturation can be facilitated by gradually reducing soil moisture content. Reducing the watering frequency from daily to twice per week, from four to six weeks after flowering followed by non-watering in the last week before harvesting has been used in speed breeding of several crops including wheat, barley, canola and chickpea (Watson et al., 2018). Soil moisture management approaches are applicable under both field and indoor growing environments.

2.1.4 | Density of plant populations

High-density planting entails growing at higher plant densities than the density required to produce maximum yield. High plant densities result in tall plants due to light competition, leading to a rapid transition from the vegetative to the reproductive growth stages (Warnasooriya & Brutnell, 2014). This approach is useful to induce early flowering and maturity, increasing the number of generation cycles per year. In rice, up to four generations per year were achieved using a high-density planting of 400 plants m⁻² (with intra-row spacing of 5 cm and inter-row spacing of 5 cm [5 × 5 cm]), compared with the conventional 25 plants m⁻² (20 × 20 cm) (Rahman et al., 2019). The length of a crop cycle in rice can be reduced by 15 to 40 days, (90 from 105 days (Rahman et al., 2019), 105 from 145 days (Moldenhauer et al.,) using high density planting. However, others have reported that high density planting did not accelerate flowering in rice (Fukushima et al., 2011; Hayashi et al., 2006). In sorghum, Jones and Johnson (1991) found that a plant density of between four and eight plants m⁻² had non-significant effects on plant growth and grain yield. In a contrasting sorghum study by Villar et al. (1989), increasing plant density from 16 to 38 plant m⁻² reduced the days to flowering from 59 to 50 days. In cotton, high-density planting (11 plant m⁻²) slightly reduced flowering to 25–26 days from 26–31 days c with lower density planting (9 plant m⁻²) (Khan et al., 2017, 2019). The above studies suggest that genotype differences affect plant responses to high-density planting under field conditions. Therefore, there is need to establish high-density planting requirements of a given genotype through preliminary trials to optimize induction of early flowering for speed breeding. High planting density is one of the low cost speed breeding strategies suitable for rapid advancement of generations, while maintaining the large population size required for advanced selections.

2.1.5 | Modifying carbon dioxide levels

Increased level of carbon dioxide (CO₂) may enhance rapid plant growth and the speed of the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive stage in some plants (Jagadish et al., 2016). However, different crop species and genotypes within a species have varying responses to increased CO₂. For instance, increased levels of CO₂ of 400/700, 350/700 and 350/650/100 ppm reduced days to flowering in soybean, rice and cowpea by 2, 7 and 12 days, respectively (Springer & Ward, 2007). In contrast, CO₂ maintained at 20 μmol/mol² delayed flowering in soybean by 11 days (Bunce, 2015). In pigeonpea, when CO₂ level was increased to 550 μmol/mol², it delayed flowering by nine days in a short duration cultivar ICPL 15,011 (Sreeharsha et al., 2015). Nagatoshi and Fujita (2019) reported that a combination of 14 hr light (30°C)/10 hr dark (25°C) cycle and CO₂ supplementation > 400 ppm in growth chambers reduced the crop cycle from 102–132 to 70 days in soybean (cv 'Enrei'). This allowed up to 5 generations per year compared to 1–2 generations under field and/or greenhouse conditions. In the same study, increased

CO₂ reportedly had little impact on flowering time but increased the number of flowers which is an advantage for developing a large number of crosses. Under growth chamber conditions, increased CO₂ (600 ppm) reduced the days to heading from 51 to 52 and 80–88 days to 48–49 and 70–74 days in the rice cv. Nipponbare and Yamadawa, respectively (Tanaka et al., 2016). Speed breeding involving modification of CO₂ levels requires appropriate facilities such as growth chambers, CO₂ cylinders and regulators, and operational costs. Also, there is need to adhere to health protocols and safety guidelines while handling and using CO₂ cylinders and valves.

2.1.6 | Use of plant nutrition, hormones and organ tissue culture

Plant nutrition and hormones have been used to accelerate growth and to induce flowering and seed set, and germination of immature seed *in vitro* (Bermejo et al., 2016). Varied responses to plant growth regulators (PGRs) are achieved when used in controlled environments such as greenhouses and growth chambers in which the photoperiod and temperatures can be monitored and controlled. For instance, combining auxin and cytokinin hormones, in the form of flurprimidol (0.3 μM), indole-3-acetic acid (5.7 μM) and zeatin (2.3 μM), promoted *in vitro* flowering at 100% and seed set (90%) in faba bean (Mobini et al., 2015). Additionally, increased seed set was achieved by exogenous application of 6-benzylaminopurine (10⁻⁵ M BAP) four days after flowering in faba bean (Mobini et al., 2020). Subsequently, Mobini and Warkentin (2016) used a combination of flurprimidol (0.9 μM) and 4-chloroindole-3-acetic acid (0.05 μM), resulting in 90 and 80% flowering and seed set in lentils. They also used an integration of adjustments to the photoperiod (18/6 hr light/dark), temperatures (22/18°C light/dark), the application of plant growth regulators (i.e., flurprimidol, cytokinin and auxins) and embryo rescue, to reduce the generation cycle in faba bean and lentil from 102 and 107 days to 54 and 45 days, respectively. This approach allowed up to 8 generations per year. They also used an *in vivo* protocol involving embryonic seed culture grown under 20 hr light (21°C)/4 hr (16°C) dark in a hydroponic system with a vermiculite substrate, scheduled fertilizer applications and 500 μM/m² s⁻¹ light intensity (fluorescent light bulbs) When treated with a plant growth hormone, flurprimidol (0.6 μM), treated and untreated pea plants had 100 and 98% flowering, and seed set in 33 and 68 days, respectively. The use of immature embryos grown on Murashige and Skoog (1962) (MS) culture medium supplemented with 0.175 mg/L indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and 0 mg/L 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) was found to be suitable for embryo culture of lentil (Bermejo et al., 2016). Embryo culture of wheat on a half strength MS supplemented with ten times the normal levels of potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄) and 4% sucrose induced 100 and 92% flowering rate and seed set in two wheat cv. 'Emu Rock' and 'Zippy' (Yao et al., 2016).

Drying and chilling methods can be used to break seed dormancy in immature seed of various crops (Ghosh et al., 2018). For example, drying of immature seed of wheat and barley at 28–35°C

for 3–5 days in an oven or dehydrator followed by chilling (4–5°C) for 3 days resulted in germination rates of 80 and 100% for seeds harvested at 2 and 4 weeks after flowering, respectively (Watson et al., 2018). This procedure was subsequently used in combination with a long photoperiod (22/2 hr light/dark) and temperature regulation (22/17°C light/dark) to achieve 4–6 generation cycles per year for wheat, barley, chickpea, pea and canola. Saxena et al. (2017) reported that immature seed of rapidly germinating pigeonpea varieties harvested at 21 days after flowering achieving germination rates of between 73% and 100% without pre-treatment. Therefore, the use of immature seed and optimal germination conditions are applicable under both field and indoor conditions for rapid generation advancement.

2.2 | Amenability with selection methods

Speed breeding is routinely used for generation advancement without phenotypic selection. However, modern technologies (e.g. high-throughput genotyping methods, marker-assisted selection, etc.) can be successfully integrated for target traits selection. The combination of speed breeding and effective selection methods should allow for the maintenance of a good breeding population and genetic diversity in the environments that restrict plant growth, and for maximum yield production (Johnston et al., 2019). Conventional selection methods such as bulk, mass, recurrent, pedigree and pure line selection require a genetically stable plant population for selection of optimally yielding genotypes. These methods are not ideal for speed breeding due to the long inbreeding and selection cycles that they require. The most appropriate selection methods amenable with speed breeding are single seed descent (SSD), single pod descent (SPD) and single plant selection (SPS) methods. These methods are briefly described below.

2.2.1 | Single seed descent method

Single seed descent (SSD) is geared towards achieving homozygous populations through continuous inbreeding of segregating population by retaining one seed from each F₂ plant and advancing these individuals to the next generation. Each inbred line developed is traced back to an F₂ plant (Fehr, 1991). The time taken to achieve inbred lines with SSD is comparable to that of the doubled haploid (DH) method (Yan et al., 2017). The advantages of the SSD selection method include less growing area and labour being required for the handling of early generations. It allows for the advancement of progeny under high-density plantings in small nurseries, growth chambers or greenhouses (Arbelaez et al., 2019; Funada et al., 2013). The negative aspect is that SSD carries forward more inferior progenies than pure line, pedigree and recurrent selection methods. In common bean, Urrea and Singh (1994) found that the overall mean of seed yields of inbred lines developed through the SSD method was lower than those developed

through pedigree and mass selection. For the SSD selection method, a sizeable number of F_1 plants (50–100) are required to generate between 2000 and 3000 F_2 plants to advance to the F_3 – F_4 generations (Priyadarshan, 2019). At the F_5 generation, plants are grown under ideal field conditions at optimum spacing to attain maximum yield, allowing for selection of superior F_6 genotypes and advancing these using a head-to-row method. Superior lines/rows are selected for preliminary yield trials (F_7) and yield trials (F_8 – F_{10}). Subsequently, superior lines (F_{11} – F_{12}) are released as new cultivars. For example, under field condition, Pignone et al. (2015) used SSD to rapidly generate 450 new inbred lines from 500 durum wheat varieties sourced from gene banks before evaluation for agronomic performance. In rice, SSD was used in a rapid generation technology (RGT) programme using growth chambers, and generated the popular cv. 'Nipponbare' (Tanaka et al., 2016). In maize, Bordes et al. (2007) found non-significant differences in the grain yield of inbred lines developed from the same parental genotypes using the doubled-haploid (DH) and SSD methods. Ma et al. (1999) reported that the mean grain yield and kernel weight of SSD lines was higher than those of anther culture derived lines in maize and spring wheat. Overall, SSD is the best selection method for speed breeding and can be carried out under both field and indoor conditions.

2.2.2 | Single pod descent method

Single pod descent (SPD) method involves selection of one pod per plant from each F_2 – F_4 plant instead of a single seed. Due to there being more than one seed per pod in most legume crops, SPD has a higher chance of maintaining each F_2 plants in the advanced generations than SSD selection. Funada et al. (2013) reported that progenies developed from crosses between soybean cv. 'OAC Atwood' and 'RG600RR', with a mean of 2.4 seeds per pod, increased the population from 200 in the F_2 to 300 plants in the F_3 generation. The authors found non-significant differences in selection efficiency for lines developed using the SSD, SPD and bulk methods.

Another advantage of SPD is that it allows for the early selection of pods, so a smaller population can be advanced. In other research, SPD resulted in soybean progeny with mean yields of 7.96 g plant⁻¹ compared with the mean yield of 6.42 g plant⁻¹ of test lines developed through SSD (Destro et al., 2003). Conversely, Khosla et al. (2019) reported better yield increases in soybean lines selected using the bulk selection method of 72.6%, followed by pedigree (33%) and SPD (10.4%). Therefore, preliminary trials are required to determine the efficiency of SPD for the crop and trait being selected for under speed breeding.

2.2.3 | Single plant selection method

The single plant selection (SPS) method advances each F_2 plant by harvesting all the seeds of each selected plant. Hence the next

generation will be advanced as plant-to-row. The SPS method has been used in a modified backcross strategy to develop introgression lines (ILs) within two years in barley (Hickey et al., 2017). A European barley, cv. 'Scarlett', was crossed with other donor parents to develop lines that were resistant to leaf rust, net blotch and spot blotch. Hickey et al. (2010) used a speed breeding procedure of continuous light and temperature maintained at 22°C, with 87 of the $BC_1F_{3,4}$ generation being selected from 5,000 BC_1F_2 plants. The authors reported that the yield of 12 Scarlett ILs selected for superior multiple disease resistance and agronomic traits were significantly higher than cv. 'Scarlett'. In bread wheat, Alahmad et al. (2018) used the SPS selection method to enhance foliar disease resistance, grain dormancy, seminal root angle, seminal root number, tolerance to crown rot, resistance to leaf rust and plant height in an approach that is compatible with speed breeding. The study found a better selection response when using SPS in comparison with unselected F_3 plants for crown rot and leaf rust resistance, and for better root angle and number. The SPS involves early selection of plants based on a smaller population than SSD and SPD.

3 | Challenges of speed breeding

The use of speed breeding techniques is a valuable approach to accelerate conventional breeding programmes. However, the technology requires expertise, effective and complementary plant phenomics facilities, appropriate infrastructure and continuous financial support for research and development (Shimelis et al., 2019). For these resource to be in place requires that speed breeding approaches are recognized as essential for conventional plant breeding, marker assisted-selection and genetic engineering. Furthermore, the integrated suite of tools requires skills and expertise in plant breeding and biotechnology, long-term funding and government policy support. For example, in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) most public plant breeding programmes use traditional plant breeding approaches. Use of modern breeding tools in the public sector is limited by technical, economic and institutional challenges (Morris & Bellon, 2004). Speed breeding methods could accelerate the release of both conventional and genetically modified crop cultivars in SSA. However, the most common challenges hampering the use of speed breeding include: (a) access to suitable facilities, (b) staff trained in the protocol, (c) adopting major changes to breeding programme design and operations, and (d) the need for long-term funding. Briefly, these challenges are discussed below.

3.1 | A lack of trained plant breeders and breeding technicians

A major challenge that can hamper the adoption of speed breeding in the public sector is a lack of trained and active plant breeders, and plant breeding technicians in developing countries (Morris

et al., 2006; Shimelis et al., 2019). The public sector breeding programmes are negatively affected by a high turn-over of plant breeding personnel to private seed companies and training institutes that offer better remuneration than government service. Moreover there are relatively few scientists specializing in plant breeding because postgraduate qualifications in plant breeding are only offered at a few universities in developing countries. In some countries, the legislative and administrative framework to manage plant breeders' rights and seed regulation have not been developed to encourage plant breeding to benefit the value chain from farmers to consumers (Tripp et al., 2007). Therefore, developing countries need to adjust their policies and practices related to investments in plant breeding education, research and personnel retention to ensure the viability of long-term crop improvement programmes, and the adoption of scientific innovations such as speed breeding.

3.2 | Inadequate infrastructure

Speed breeding platforms require sophisticated infrastructure to regulate environmental factors, particularly soil moisture, temperature and photoperiod. Institutional support is limited in public plant breeding programmes in many developing countries. This limits the adoption of state-of-the-art breeding methods such as speed breeding and biotechnological tools (Byerlee & Fischer, 2002). Moreover specialized equipment needed to carry out selection of traits during early generation advancement are limited (Ribaut et al., 2010). Additionally, an overreliance on donor agencies ('donor mind-set') and a lack of harmonization of regional breeding programmes leads to duplications of activities and investments in resources. Therefore, there is a need for active collaboration between national and regional organizations in the development of infrastructure, and for resource and knowledge sharing once the infrastructure is in place. An opportunity exists to reduce the cost of establishing new infrastructure by the invention of innovative, local equipment, such as the use of modified shipping containers fitted with solar-powered temperature and light control equipment (Chiurugwi et al., 2018).

3.3 | Unreliable water and electricity supplies for sustainable operations

The manipulation of environmental factors, specifically moisture, temperature and photoperiod, in indoor growing facilities requires reliable water and electricity supplies. Indoor speed breeding facilities require affordable, sustainable and reliable energy for cooling, heating and lighting. For instance, the cost of temperature regulation in Queensland during winter accounted for more than half of the total cost of plant management (O'Connor et al., 2013). Unreliable supplies of electricity are a major problem for the management of temperature and photoperiod for speed breeding in public plant

breeding programmes. Growing crops in the field require land preparation, fertilization, irrigation and other standard agronomic practices, which have substantial costs and require substantial infrastructure investments. In developing countries, speed breeding will require innovative solutions to the supply of water and electricity, such as the use of sustainable solar power. A small indoor speed breeding kit consisting of fitted LED lights and temperature controls powered by a solar system with battery backup could be developed using existing technologies. An alternative would be adapting the principles of speed breeding to semi-controlled field-based systems, where high-dense planting, combined with moisture and nutrient stress can be managed, but large populations can be grown at a relatively lower cost.

4 | CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The use of speed breeding can accelerate the development of high performing cultivars with market-preferred traits by reducing the amount of time, space and resources invested in the selection and genetic advancement of superior crop varieties. The technique allows plant breeders to deliver improved crop varieties more rapidly. Streamlined operations that reduce labour and lost-cost facilities are key for effective integration of speed breeding into a crop improvement programme. Furthermore, integration of speed breeding with conventional, MAS and GE breeding approaches can enhance effective selection of elite genotypes and lines with novel traits, such as higher yield and better nutritional qualities, together with biotic and abiotic stress tolerance. The most appropriate selection methods amenable with speed breeding include SSD, SPD and SPS methods.

However, the adoption of speed breeding in many developing countries, especially in public plant breeding programmes, is limited by the lack of trained plant breeders and plant breeding technicians, and a lack of the requisite infrastructure and reliable supplies of water and electricity. Currently, there is also a lack of enabling government support at a policy and financial level to initiate and sustain speed breeding in public plant breeding programmes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform, Namibia and the International Atomic Energy Agency for their support of this study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed equally to the idea and preparation of the manuscript, and all authors read and approved the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data supporting this study are available within the manuscript.

ORCID

Maliata Athon Wanga  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9827-0740>

Hussein Shimelis  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2793-8392>

Jacob Mashilo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9015-043X>

REFERENCES

- Agbicodo, E. M., Fatokun, C. A., Muranaka, S., Visser, R. G. F., & Van Der Linden, C. G., (2009). Breeding drought tolerant cowpea: Constraints, accomplishments, and future prospects. *Euphytica*, 167(3), 353–370. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-9893-8>
- Ahmar, S., Gill, R. A., Jung, K. H., Faheem, A., Qasim, M. U., Mubeen, M., & Zhou, W. (2020). Conventional and molecular techniques from simple breeding to speed breeding in crop plants: Recent advances and future outlook. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(7), 2590. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21072590>
- Alahmad, S., Dinglasan, E., Ming Leung, K., Riaz, A., Derbal, N., Voss-Fels, K. P., Able, J. A., Bassi, F. M., Christopher, J., & Hickey, L. T. (2018). Speed breeding for multiple quantitative traits in durum wheat. *Plant Methods*, 14, 36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-018-0302-y>
- Anjum, S. A., Ashraf, U., Zohaib, A., Tanveer, M., Naeem, M., Ali, I., Tabassum, T., & Nazir, U. (2017). Growth and developmental responses of crop plants under drought stress: A review. *Zemdirbyste*, 104(3), 267–276. <https://doi.org/10.13080/z-a.2017.104.034>
- Arbelaez, J. D., Tandayu, E., Reveche, M. Y., Jarana, A., van Rogen, P., Sandager, L., Stolt, P., Ng, E., Varshney, R. K., Kretzschmar, T., & Cobb, J. (2019). Methodology: Ssb-MASS: A single seed-based sampling strategy for marker-assisted selection in rice. *Plant Methods*, 15(1), 78. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-019-0464-2>
- Bermejo, C., Gatti, I., & Cointy, E. (2016). *In vitro* embryo culture to shorten the breeding cycle in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 127(3), 585–590. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-1065-7>
- Bhatta, M., Sandro, P., Smith, M. R., Delaney, O., Voss-Fels, K. P., Gutierrez, L., & Hickey, L. T. (2021). Need for speed: Manipulating plant growth to accelerate breeding cycles. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 60, 101986. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2020.101986>
- Bordes, J., Charmet, G., De Vaulx, R. D., Lapierre, A., Pollacsek, M., Beckert, M., & Gallais, A. (2007). Doubled-haploid versus single-seed descent and S1-family variation for testcross performance in a maize population. *Euphytica*, 154, 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-006-9266-5>
- Breseghele, F., & Coelho, A. S. G. (2013). Traditional and modern plant breeding methods with examples in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61(35), 8277–8286. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf305531j>
- Bunce, J. A. (2015). Elevated carbon dioxide effects on reproductive phenology and seed yield among soybean cultivars. *Crop Science*, 55(1), 339–343. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2014.04.0273>
- Byerlee, D., & Fischer, K. (2002). Accessing modern science: Policy and institutional options for agricultural biotechnology in developing countries. *World Development*, 30(6), 931–948. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(02\)00013-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(02)00013-X)
- Chiurugwi, T., Kemp, S., Powell, W., & Hickey, L. T. (2018). Speed breeding orphan crops. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 132(3), 607–616. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-018-3202-7>
- Collard, B. C. Y., Beredo, J. C., Lenaerts, B., Mendoza, R., Santelices, R., Lopena, V., Verdeprado, H., Raghavan, C., Gregorio, G. B., Vial, L. et al (2017). Revisiting rice breeding methods—evaluating the use of rapid generation advance (RGA) for routine rice breeding. *Plant Production Science*, 20(4), 337–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2017.1391705>
- De La Fuente, G. N., Frei, U. K., & Lübberstedt, T. (2013). Accelerating plant breeding. *Trends in Plant Science*, 18(12), 667–672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.09.001>
- De Rouw, A., & Winkel, T. (1998). Drought avoidance by asynchronous flowering in pearl millet stands cultivated on-farm and on-station in Niger. *Experimental Agriculture*, 34(1), 19–39. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479798001057>
- Destro, D., Bizeti, H. S., Garcia, L. A., De Batista Fonseca, I. C., Montalván, R., & Miglioranza, É. (2003). Comparison between the SPD and the SPDS methods for segregating generation advancement in soybean. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 46(4), 545–551. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132003000400008>
- Dubcovsky, J., Loukoianov, A., Fu, D., Valarik, M., Sanchez, A., & Yan, L. (2006). Effect of photoperiod on the regulation of wheat vernalization genes VRN1 and VRN2. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 60(4), 469–480. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-005-4814-2>
- Dwivedi, S. L., Britt, A. B., Tripathi, L., Sharma, S., Upadhyaya, H. D., & Ortiz, R. (2015). Haploids: Constraints and opportunities in plant breeding. *Biotechnology Advances*, 33(6), 812–829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2015.07.001>
- El-Hashash, E. F., & El-Absy, K. M. (2019). Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) breeding. In J. Al-Khayri, S. Jain, & D. V. Johnson (Eds.), *Advances in Plant Breeding Strategies: Cereals* (pp. 1–45). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23108-8_1
- Fehr, W. (1991). *Principles of cultivar development: Theory and techniques*. Macmillan Publishing Company. Agronomy Books 1. Retrieved from: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/agron_books/1
- Forster, B. P., Till, B. J., Ghanim, A. M. A., Huynh, H. O. A., Burstmayr, H., & Caligari, P. D. S. (2014). Accelerated plant breeding. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 9, 20149043. <https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR20149043>
- Fukushima, A., Shiratsuchi, H., Yamaguchi, H., & Fukuda, A. (2011). Effects of nitrogen application and planting density on morphological traits, dry matter production and yield of large grain type rice variety bekooba and strategies for super high-yielding rice in the tohoku region of Japan. *Plant Production Science*, 14(1), 56–63. <https://doi.org/10.1626/pps.14.56>
- Funada, M., Helms, T. C., Hammond, J. J., Hossain, K., & Doetkott, C. (2013). Single-seed descent, single-pod, and bulk sampling methods for soybean. *Euphytica*, 192(2), 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0837-3>
- Ghosh, S., Watson, A., Gonzalez-Navarro, O. E., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R. H., Yanes, L., Mendoza-Suárez, M., Simmonds, J., Wells, R., Rayner, T., Green, P., Hafeez, A., Hayta, S., Melton, R. E., Steed, A., Sarkar, A., Carter, J., Perkins, L., Lord, J., Tester, M., ... Hickey, L. T. (2018). Speed breeding in growth chambers and glasshouses for crop breeding and model plant research. *Nature Protocols*, 13(12), 2944–2963. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0072-z>
- Goufo, P., Moutinho-Pereira, J. M., Jorge, T. F., Correia, C. M., Oliveira, M. R., Rosa, E. A. S. S., António, C., & Trindade, H. (2017). Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) metabolomics: Osmoprotection as a physiological strategy for drought stress resistance and improved yield. *Frontiers. Plant Science*, 8, 586. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00586>
- Hatfield, J. L., & Prueger, J. H. (2015). Temperature extremes: Effect on plant growth and development. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 10, 4–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2015.08.001>
- Hayashi, S., Kamoshita, A., & Yamagishi, J. (2006). Effect of planting density on grain yield and water productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in flooded and non-flooded fields in Japan. *Plant Production Science*, 9(3), 298–311. <https://doi.org/10.1626/pps.9.298>
- Hickey, L. T., Dieters, M. J., DeLacy, I. H., Christopher, M. J., Kravchuk, O. Y., & Banks, P. M. (2010). Screening for grain dormancy in segregating generations of dormant x non-dormant crosses in white-grained wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Euphytica*, 172(2), 183–195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-0028-z>

- Hickey, L. T., Germán, S. E., Pereyra, S. A., Diaz, J. E., Ziemis, L. A., Fowler, R. A., Platz, G. J., Franckowiak, J. D., & Dieters, M. J. (2017). Speed breeding for multiple disease resistance in barley. *Euphytica*, 213, 64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-016-1803-2>
- Hickey, L. T., N. Hafeez, A., Robinson, H., Jackson, S. A., Leal-Bertioli, S. C. M., Tester, M., Gao, C., Godwin, I. D., Hayes, B. J., & Wulff, B. B. H. (2019). Breeding crops to feed 10 billion. *Nature Biotechnology*, 37(7), 744–754. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0152-9>
- Hussain, H. A., Hussain, S., Khaliq, A., Ashraf, U., Anjum, S. A., Men, S., & Wang, L. (2018). Chilling and drought stresses in crop plants: Implications, cross talk, and potential management opportunities. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 393. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00393>
- Jagadish, S. V. K., Bahuguna, R. N., Djanaguiraman, M., Gamuyao, R., Prasad, P. V. V., & Craufurd, P. Q. (2016). Implications of high temperature and elevated CO₂ on flowering time in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 913. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00913>
- Jähne, F., Hahn, V., Würschum, T., & Leiser, W. L. (2020). Speed breeding short-day crops by LED-controlled light schemes. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 133, 2335–2342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-020-03601-4>
- Johnston, H. R., Keats, B. J. B., & Sherman, S. L. (2019). Population genetics. In R. E. Pyeritz, B. R. Korf, & W. W. Grody (Eds.), *Emery and Rimoin's Principles and Practice of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Foundations* (pp. 359–373). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812537-3.00012-3>
- Jones, O. R., & Johnson, G. L. (1991). Row width and plant density effects on Texas high plains sorghum. *Journal of Production Agriculture*, 4(4), 613–621. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jpa1991.0613>
- Khan, A., Najeeb, U., Wang, L., Tan, D. K. Y., Yang, G., Munsif, F., Ali, S., & Hafeez, A. (2017). Planting density and sowing date strongly influence growth and lint yield of cotton crops. *Field Crops Research*, 209, 129–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.04.019>
- Khan, N., Han, Y., Xing, F., Feng, L., Wang, Z., Wang, G., Yang, B., Fan, Z., Lei, Y., Xiong, S., Li, X., & Li, Y. (2019). Plant density influences reproductive growth, lint yield and boll spatial distribution of cotton. *Agronomy*, 10(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10010014>
- Khosla, G., Gill, B. S., & Sharma, P. (2019). Comparison of different breeding methods for developing superior genotypes in soybean. *Agricultural Research Journal*, 56(4), 628. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2395-146x.2019.00098.x>
- Kouressy, M., Dingkuhn, M., Vaxsmann, M., & Heinemann, A. B. (2008). Adaptation to diverse semi-arid environments of sorghum genotypes having different plant type and sensitivity to photoperiod. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 148(3), 357–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.09.009>
- Laux, P., Jäckel, G., Tingem, R. M., & Kunstmann, H. (2010). Impact of climate change on agricultural productivity under rainfed conditions in Cameroon-A method to improve attainable crop yields by planting date adaptations. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 150(9), 1258–1271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.05.008>
- Ma, H., Busch, R. H., Riera-Lizarazu, O., Rines, H. W., & Dill-Macky, R. (1999). Agronomic performance of lines derived from anther culture, maize pollination and single-seed descent in a spring wheat cross. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 99, 432–436. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051254>
- McClung, C. R., Lou, P., Hermand, V., & Kim, J. A. (2016). The importance of ambient temperature to growth and the induction of flowering. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 1266. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01266>
- Mobini, S., Khazaei, H., Warkentin, T. D., & Vandenberg, A. (2020). Shortening the generation cycle in faba bean (*Vicia faba*) by application of cytokinin and cold stress to assist speed breeding. *Plant Breeding*, 139(6), 1181–1189. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12868>
- Mobini, S. H., Lulsdorf, M., Warkentin, T. D., & Vandenberg, A. (2015). Plant growth regulators improve *in vitro* flowering and rapid generation advancement in lentil and faba bean. *Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology - Plant*, 51(1), 71–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-014-9647-8>
- Mobini, S. H., & Warkentin, T. D. (2016). A simple and efficient method of *in vivo* rapid generation technology in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology - Plant*, 52(5), 530–536. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-016-9772-7>
- Morris, M. L., & Bellon, M. R. (2004). Participatory plant breeding research: Opportunities and challenges for the international crop improvement system. *Euphytica*, 136(1), 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EUPH.0000019509.37769.b1>
- Morris, M., Edmeades, G., & Pehu, E. (2006). The global need for plant breeding capacity: What roles for the public and private sectors? *HortScience*, 41(1), 30–39. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.41.1.30>
- Munamava, M., & Riddoch, I. (2001). Response of three sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) varieties to soil moisture stress at different developmental stages. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*, 18(2), 75–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.2001.10634407>
- Murashige, T., & Skoog, F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 15(3), 473–497. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1962.tb08052.x>
- Nagatoshi, Y., & Fujita, Y. (2019). Accelerating soybean breeding in a CO₂-supplemented growth chamber. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 60(1), 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcy189>
- O'Connor, D. J., Wright, G. C., Dieters, M. J., George, D. L., Hunter, M. N., Tatnell, J. R., & Fleischfresser, D. B. (2013). Development and application of speed breeding technologies in a commercial peanut breeding program. *Peanut Science*, 40(2), 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.3146/PS12-12.1>
- Ochatt, S. J., & Sangwan, R. S. (2008). *In vitro* shortening of generation time in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 93, 133–137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-008-9351-7>
- Ochatt, S. J., Sangwan, R. S., Marget, P., Assoumou Ndong, Y., Rancillac, M., & Perney, P. (2002). New approaches towards the shortening of generation cycles for faster breeding of protein legumes. *Plant Breeding*, 121(5), 436–440. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2002.746803.x>
- Pignone, D., De Paola, D., Rapanà, N., & Janni, M. (2015). Single seed descent: A tool to exploit durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) genetic resources. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 62, 1029–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-014-0206-2>
- Priyadarshan, P. M. (2019). Breeding self-pollinated crops. *Plant Breeding: Classical to Modern* (pp. 223–241). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7095-3_11
- Rahman, M. A., Quddus, M. R., Jahan, N., Rahman, A., Hossain, M. R. A. S. H., & Iftekharruddaula, K. M. (2019). Field rapid generation advance: An effective technique for industrial scale rice breeding program. *The Experiment*, 47(2), 2659–2670
- Ribaut, J. M., de Vicente, M. C., & Delannay, X. (2010). Molecular breeding in developing countries: Challenges and perspectives. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 13(2), 213–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.011>
- Saito, H., Yuan, Q., Okumoto, Y., Doi, K., Yoshimura, A., Inoue, H., Teraishi, M., Tsukiyama, T., & Tanisaka, T. (2009). Multiple alleles at Early flowering 1 locus making variation in the basic vegetative growth period in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 119(2), 315–323. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-009-1040-3>
- Samineni, S., Sen, M., Sajja, S. B., & Gaur, P. M. (2019). Rapid generation advance (RGA) in chickpea to produce up to seven generations per year and enable speed breeding. *Crop Journal*, 8(1), 164–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2019.08.003>

- Saxena, K. B., Saxena, R. K., Hickey, L. T., & Varshney, R. K. (2019). Can a speed breeding approach accelerate genetic gain in pigeonpea? *Euphytica*, 215(12), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-019-2520-4>
- Saxena, K., Saxena, R. K., & Varshney, R. K. (2017). Use of immature seed germination and single seed descent for rapid genetic gains in pigeonpea. *Plant Breeding*, 136(6), 954–957. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12538>
- Shavrukov, Y., Kurishbayev, A., Jatayev, S., Shvidchenko, V., Zotova, L., Koekemoer, F., De Groot, S., Soole, K., & Langridge, P. (2017). Early flowering as a drought escape mechanism in plants: How can it aid wheat production? *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1950. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01950>
- Shimelis, H., Gwata, E. T., & Laing, M. D. (2019). Crop improvement for agricultural transformation in Southern Africa. In R. A. Sikora, E. R. Terry, P. L. G. Vlek, & J. Chitja (Eds.), *Transforming Agriculture in Southern Africa*, 1st, ed. (pp. 97–103). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429401701>
- Shimelis, H., & Laing, M. (2012). Timelines in conventional crop improvement: Pre-breeding and breeding procedures. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 6(11), 1542–1549
- Singh, V., Nguyen, C. T., van Oosterom, E. J., Chapman, S. C., Jordan, D. R., & Hammer, G. L. (2015). Sorghum genotypes differ in high temperature responses for seed set. *Field Crops Research*, 171, 32–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2014.11.003>
- Springer, C. J., & Ward, J. K. (2007). Flowering time and elevated atmospheric CO₂. *New Phytologist*, 176(2), 243–255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02196.x>
- Sreeharsha, R. V., Sekhar, K. M., & Reddy, A. R. (2015). Delayed flowering is associated with lack of photosynthetic acclimation in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) grown under elevated CO₂. *Plant Science*, 231, 82–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.11.012>
- Stetter, M. G., Zeitler, L., Steinhaus, A., Kroener, K., Biljecki, M., & Schmid, K. J. (2016). Crossing methods and cultivation conditions for rapid production of segregating populations in three grain amaranth species. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 816. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00816>
- Tanaka, J., Hayashi, T., & Iwata, H. (2016). A practical, rapid generation-advancement system for rice breeding using simplified biotron breeding system. *Breeding Science*, 66(4), 542–551. <https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.15038>
- Tester, M., & Langridge, P. (2010). Breeding technologies to increase crop production in a changing world. *Science*, 327(5967), 818–822. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183700>
- Tripp, R., Louwaars, N., & Eaton, D. (2007). Plant variety protection in developing countries. A report from the field. *Food Policy*, 32(3), 354–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2006.09.003>
- Urrea, C. A., & Singh, S. P. (1994). Comparison of mass, F₂-derived family, and single-seed-descent selection methods in an interracial population of common bean. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 74, 461–464. <https://doi.org/10.4141/cjps94-085>
- Vadez, V., Hash, T., Bidinger, F. R., & Kholova, J. (2012). II.1.5 Phenotyping pearl millet for adaptation to drought. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 3, 386. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2012.00386>
- Villar, J. L., Maranville, J. W., & Gardner, J. C. (1989). High density sorghum production for late planting in the Central Great Plains. *Journal of Production Agriculture*, 2(4), 333–338. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jpa1989.0333>
- Vince-Prue, D. (1994). The duration of light and photoperiodic responses. In R. E. Kendrick & G. H. M. Kronenberg (Eds.), *Photomorphogenesis in plants*. Dordrecht: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1884-2_17
- Warnasooriya, S. N., & Brutnell, T. P. (2014). Enhancing the productivity of grasses under high-density planting by engineering light responses: From model systems to feedstocks. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 65(11), 2825–2834. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru221>
- Watson, A., Ghosh, S., Williams, M. J., Cuddy, W. S., Simmonds, J., Rey, M. -D., Asyraf Md Hatta, M., Hinchliffe, Alison, Steed, Andrew, Reynolds, Daniel, Adamski, Nikolai M., Breakspear, Andy, Korolev, Andrey, Rayner, Tracey, Dixon, Laura E., Riaz, Adnan, Martin, William, Ryan, Merrill, Edwards, David, Batley, Jacqueline, Raman, Harsh, Carter, Jeremy, Rogers, Christian, Domoney, Claire, Moore, Graham, Harwood, Wendy, Nicholson, Paul, Dieters, Mark J., DeLacy, Ian H., Zhou, Ji, Uauy, Cristobal, Boden, Scott A., Park, Robert F., Wulff, Brande B. H., & Hickey, Lee T. (2018). Speed breeding is a powerful tool to accelerate crop research and breeding. *Nature Plants*, 4(1), 23–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0083-8>
- Wiebbecke, C. E., Graham, M. A., Cianzio, S. R., & Palmer, R. G. (2012). Day temperature influences the male-sterile locus ms9 in soybean. *Crop Science*, 52(4), 1503–1510. <https://doi.org/10.2135/crosci2011.08.0410>
- Yan, G., Liu, H., Wang, H., Lu, Z., Wang, Y., Mullan, D., Hamblin, J., & Liu, C. (2017). Accelerated generation of selfed pure line plants for gene identification and crop breeding. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1786. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01786>
- Yan, L., Loukoianov, A., Blechl, A., Tranquilli, G., Ramakrishna, W., SanMiguel, P., Bennetzen, J. L., Echenique, V., & Dubcovsky, J. (2004). The wheat VRN2 gene is a flowering repressor down-regulated by vernalization. *Science*, 303(5664), 1640–1644. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094305>
- Yang, L., Wang, D., Xu, Y., Zhao, H., Wang, L., Cao, X., Chen, Y., & Chen, Q. (2017). A new resistance gene against potato late blight originating from *Solanum pinnatisectum* located on potato chromosome 7. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1729. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01729>
- Yang, S., Weers, B. D., Morishige, D. T., & Mullet, J. E. (2014). CONSTANS is a photoperiod regulated activator of flowering in sorghum. *BMC Plant Biology*, 14, 148. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-14-148>
- Yao, Y., Zhang, P., Liu, H., Lu, Z., & Yan, G. (2016). A fully *in vitro* protocol towards large scale production of recombinant inbred lines in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 128, 655–661. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-1145-8>
- Zheng, Z., Wang, H. B., Chen, G. D., Yan, G. J., & Liu, C. J. (2013). A procedure allowing up to eight generations of wheat and nine generations of barley per annum. *Euphytica*, 191(2), 311–316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-013-0909-z>

How to cite this article: Wanga MA, Shimelis H, Mashilo J, Laing MD. Opportunities and challenges of speed breeding: A review. *Plant Breed*. 2021;140:185–194. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12909>